

### General

#### Guideline Title

Best evidence statement (BESt). Use of care giver education to prevent positional plagiocephaly.

## Bibliographic Source(s)

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. Best evidence statement (BESt). Use of care giver education to prevent positional plagiocephaly. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2012 Jun 6. 5 p. [9 references]

#### Guideline Status

This is the current release of the guideline.

## Recommendations

## Major Recommendations

The strength of the recommendation (strongly recommended, recommended, or no recommendation) and the quality of the evidence  $(1a\hat{a} \in `5b)$  are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

It is recommended that care givers of infant(s) routinely receive education regarding "turnmy time" and infant positioning beginning prior to two months of age to decrease the amount of time infants spend in positions other than supine and decrease the incidence of plagiocephaly (van Vlimmeren et al., 2008 [2a]; Wen et al., 2011 [2b]; Cavalier et al., 2011 [3a]; Lennartsson, 2011 [4b]; Jennings, Sarbaugh, & Payne, 2005 [4b]).

#### Definitions:

Table of Evidence Levels

Quality Level	Definition
la† or lb†	Systematic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies
2a or 2b	Best study design for domain
3a or 3b	Fair study design for domain
4a or 4b	Weak study design for domain
5a or 5b	General review, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline

Suality Level	Local Consensus

 $\dagger a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study$ 

Table of Recommendation Strength

Strength	Definition
It is strongly recommended that It is strongly recommended that not	When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is high support that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or vice versa for negative recommendations)
It is recommended that It is recommended thatnot	When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is moderate support that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.
There is insufficient evidence and a lack of consensus to make a recommendation	

See the original guideline document for the dimensions used for judging the strength of the recommendation.

## Clinical Algorithm(s)

None provided

# Scope

## Disease/Condition(s)

Positional plagiocephaly

# Guideline Category

Prevention

# Clinical Specialty

Family Practice

Pediatrics

### **Intended Users**

Advanced Practice Nurses

Nurses

Physician Assistants

Physicians

### Guideline Objective(s)

To evaluate, among infants less than two months of age, if infant positioning education given to their care givers versus no education increases the amount of time the infant spends in positions other than supine and decreases the incidence of positional plagiocephaly

### **Target Population**

Infants less than two months of age and premature infants with adjusted age less than two months

Note: Excluded are infants who have a medical condition where varying infant position may increase or cause health risk.

#### **Interventions and Practices Considered**

Routine education regarding "turnmy time" and infant positioning for care givers of infants

### Major Outcomes Considered

Incidence of positional plagiocephaly

# Methodology

#### Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Searches of Electronic Databases

## Description of Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Search Strategy

Key words: positional plagiocephaly; plagiocephaly; infant positioning; non-syndromic plagiocephaly; deformational plagiocephaly

Limits: English, infants, 2000 to 2011

Databases: CINAHL, PubMed

End date of retrieval: 12-30-11

#### Number of Source Documents

Not stated

## Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

## Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

Table of Evidence Levels

|--|

Quality Llevel	Destinitiatic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies
2a or 2b	Best study design for domain
3a or 3b	Fair study design for domain
4a or 4b	Weak study design for domain
5a or 5b	General review, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline
5	Local Consensus

 $\dagger a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study$ 

## Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Systematic Review

## Description of the Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Not stated

### Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Expert Consensus

## Description of Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Not stated

## Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations

Table of Recommendation Strength

Definition
When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is high support that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or vice versa for negative recommendations)
When the dimensions for judging the strength of the evidence are applied, there is moderate support that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.

See the original guideline document for the dimensions used for judging the strength of the recommendation.

## Cost Analysis

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

#### Method of Guideline Validation

Peer Review

### Description of Method of Guideline Validation

This Best Evidence Statement has been reviewed against quality criteria by 2 independent reviewers from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) Evidence Collaboration.

# Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

### References Supporting the Recommendations

Cavalier A, Picot MC, Artiaga C, Mazurier E, Amilhau MO, Froye E, Captier G, Picaud JC. Prevention of deformational plagiocephaly in neonates. Early Hum Dev. 2011 Aug;87(8):537-43. PubMed

Jennings JT, Sarbaugh BG, Payne NS. Conveying the message about optimal infant positions. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr. 2005;25(3):3-18. PubMed

Lennartsson F. Testing guidelines for child health care nurses to prevent nonsynostotic plagiocephaly: a Swedish pilot study. J Pediatr Nurs. 2011 Dec;26(6):541-51. PubMed

van Vlimmeren LA, van der Graaf Y, Boere-Boonekamp MM, L'Hoir MP, Helders PJ, Engelbert RH. Effect of pediatric physical therapy on deformational plagiocephaly in children with positional preference: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008 Aug;162(8):712-8. PubMed

Wen LM, Baur LA, Simpson JM, Rissel C, Flood VM. Effectiveness of an early intervention on infant feeding practices and 'tummy time': a randomized controlled trial. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2011 Aug;165(8):701-7. PubMed

## Type of Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see the "Major Recommendations" field).

# Benefits/Harms of Implementing the Guideline Recommendations

#### **Potential Benefits**

Early caregiver education regarding unlimited restriction of movement, infant positioning, and safe infant environment reduces the incidence of positional plagiocephaly (PP) and has a positive effect on reduction of diagnosed cases of PP during the first 12 months and infants less than 6 months of age.

#### Potential Harms

# **Qualifying Statements**

## **Qualifying Statements**

This Best Evidence Statement addresses only key points of care for the target population; it is not intended to be a comprehensive practice guideline. These recommendations result from review of literature and practices current at the time of their formulation. This Best Evidence Statement does not preclude using care modalities proven efficacious in studies published subsequent to the current revision of this document. This document is not intended to impose standards of care preventing selective variances from the recommendations to meet the specific and unique requirements of individual patients. Adherence to this Statement is voluntary. The clinician in light of the individual circumstances presented by the patient must make the ultimate judgment regarding the priority of any specific procedure.

# Implementation of the Guideline

### Description of Implementation Strategy

An implementation strategy was not provided.

### **Implementation Tools**

Audit Criteria/Indicators

For information about availability, see the Availability of Companion Documents and Patient Resources fields below.

# Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

**IOM Care Need** 

Staying Healthy

**IOM Domain** 

Effectiveness

# Identifying Information and Availability

Bibliographic Source(s)

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. Best evidence statement (BESt). Use of care giver education to prevent positional plagiocephaly. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2012 Jun 6. 5 p. [9 references]

Adaptation
Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.
Date Released
2012 Jun 6
Guideline Developer(s)
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center - Hospital/Medical Center
Source(s) of Funding
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center
Guideline Committee
Not stated
Composition of Group That Authored the Guideline
Group/Team Members: Candyce L. Wilson, RN, BSN, Division of Outpatient Services; Mary Ellen Meier, RN, MSN, CPN, Center for Professional Excellence and Business Integration, Research and Evidence-Based Practice, EBP Mentor; Zienia Samaan, MD, FAAP, Medical Director Pediatric Primary Care Center & Hopple Street Health Center; Pamela Hudson, PT, MPH; Dawn Rothchild MSN, RN, Division of Plastic & Reconstructive Services; David A. Billmire MD, FAAP, Medical Director Division of Plastic Surgery
Financial Disclosures/Conflicts of Interest
No financial conflicts of interest were found.
Guideline Status
This is the current release of the guideline.
Cuideline Aveilebility
Guideline Availability
Electronic copies: Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site
Print copies: For information regarding the full-text guideline, print copies, or evidence-based practice support services contact the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Health James M. Anderson Center for Health Systems Excellence at EBDMInfo@cchmc.org.
Availability of Companion Documents
The following are available:
<ul> <li>Judging the strength of a recommendation. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2008 Jan. 1 p. Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site</li> <li>Grading a body of evidence to answer a clinical question. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 1 p. Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site</li> </ul>

• Table of evidence levels. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2008 Feb 29. 1 p. Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site.
Print copies: For information regarding the full-text guideline, print copies, or evidence-based practice support services contact the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Health James M. Anderson Center for Health Systems Excellence at EBDMInfo@cchmc.org.
In addition, suggested process or outcome measures are available in the original guideline document.
Patient Resources
None available
NGC Status
This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on August 30, 2012.
Copyright Statement
This NGC summary is based on the original full-text guideline, which is subject to the following copyright restrictions:
Copies of this Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC)  Best Evidence Statement (BESt) are available online and may be distributed by any organization for the global purpose of improving child health outcomes. Examples of approved uses of the BESt include the following:

- Copies may be provided to anyone involved in the organization's process for developing and implementing evidence based care.
- Hyperlinks to the CCHMC website may be placed on the organization's website.
- The BESt may be adopted or adapted for use within the organization, provided that CCHMC receives appropriate attribution on all written
  or electronic documents.
- Copies may be provided to patients and the clinicians who manage their care.

Notification of CCHMC at EBDMInfo@cchmc.org for any BESt adopted, adapted, implemented or hyperlinked by the organization is appreciated.

## Disclaimer

#### NGC Disclaimer

The National Guideline Clearinghouseâ, & (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion-criteria.aspx.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.